

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,468	08/05/2003	H. Gene Hawkins	5490-000316	6819
27572	7590 09/14/2005	*	EXAMINER	
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.			LUONG, SHIAN TINH NHAN	
P.O. BOX 828 BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3728	
			DATE MAILED: 09/14/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

-	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/634,468	HAWKINS, H. GENE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shian T. Luong	3728	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_,		
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the me			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the contract of the contract	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	∆ □ -t: 0:	(PTO 413)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		
Delegational Trademark Office			

Page 2 Application/Control Number: 10/634,468

Art Unit: 3728

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121: 1.

Claims 1-22, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 206, subclass 363. I.

Claims 23-38, drawn to a method of using the package, classified in class 604, II.

subclass 500+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be 2.

shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the

product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP

§ 806.05(h)). In the instant case, the process for using the product can be practiced with another

product without a second sealing device and a second container that encloses the first container.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a 3.

separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination

purposes as indicated is proper.

This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species 4.

of the claimed invention, applicant is required to elect one of the two species:

Species I: Figures 1-3

Species II: Figures 4-5

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for

prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally

held to be allowable. Currently, no claim appears to be generic.

Application/Control Number: 10/634,468

Art Unit: 3728

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

5. A telephone call was made to applicant's attorney on 9/13/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not reach the attorney.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3728

Conclusion

6. Telephone inquiries regarding the status of applications or other general questions, by

persons entitled to the information, should be directed to the group clerical personnel and not to

the examiners. In as much as the official records and applications are located in the clerical

section of the examining groups, the clerical personnel can readily provide status information

without contacting the examiners, M.P.E.P. 203.08.

If in receiving this Office Action it is apparent to applicant that certain documents are

missing, e.g., copies of references cited, form PTO-1449, form PTO-892, etc., requests for copies

of such papers should be directed to Erica Miller at (571) 272-4370.

For applicant's convenience, the official FAX number is 571-273-8300. This practice

may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which

require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify

Examiner <u>Luong</u> of Art Unit <u>3728</u> at the top of your cover sheet of any correspondence

submitted.

Inquiries concerning the merits of the examination should be directed to Shian Luong

whose telephone number is (571) 272-4557. The examiner can normally be reached on M-H

from 7:00am to 4:00pm EST.

Primary Examiner Shian Luong Page 4

Art Unit 3728

STL September 13, 2005